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## Introduction

This document is my learning diary written on behalf of Data Mining course led at spring term 2015 at University of Helsinki.

## 1 Week 1

The support count $\sigma(X)$ of an item set $X$ is the amount of transactions containing $X\left(X \subset t_{i}\right)$. Basically, we were computing support counts for various itemsets with the exception of applying additional constraints to the queries (such as particular grade range).

The support of an item set $X$ is $\sigma(X) / N$, where $N$ is the amount of all transactions. Support of $X$ may be thought of as a classical probability of a random transaction containing $X$.

An association rule is an implication of the form $X \rightarrow Y$, where $X$ and $Y$ are itemsets having no items in common. The interpretation of an association rule is that if a transaction contains $X$, it "tends" to contain $Y$ as well. Note that "tends" depends on parameters we specify to a data mining system. Support of an association rule $X \rightarrow Y$ is

$$
s(X \rightarrow Y)=\frac{\sigma(X \cup Y)}{N}
$$

Support of the rule $R$ may be thought of as a classical probability of $R$ appearing in a random transaction. Rule confidence gives the probability of $Y$ appearing in the same transactions with set $X$ and is defined as

$$
c(X \rightarrow Y)=\frac{\sigma(X \cup Y)}{\sigma(X)}
$$

### 1.1 Reflection

Getting the data from a file to internal representation was pretty challenging: the data seems a little bit "dirty" and I am sure there is room for improvement. What comes to accessing data, I have made an effort to make sure that it runs fast. Basically I have three model classes:

Course holds the course name, the course code, grading mode and the amount of credits awarded,

Student holds only a unique student ID and enrollment year,
CourseAttendanceEntry holds a course $C$, a student $S$, the year and month $S$ attended $C$, and the grade $S$ received. Basically, these entries implement a many-to-many relationship between courses and students.

## 2 Week 2

## Task 5

The supports are as follow:

| E | 0.684 |
| :---: | :---: |
| O | 0.632 |
| P | 0.526 |
| W | 0.158 |
| EO | 0.474 |
| EP | 0.316 |
| EW | 0.053 |
| OP | 0.263 |
| OW | 0.053 |
| PW | 0.105 |
| EOP | 0.221 |
| EOW | 0.053 |
| EPW | 0 |
| OPW | 0 |
| EOPW | 0 |

The only observation that I was able to come up with is that if $s(X)$ is support of an itemset $X$, then

$$
s(X) \leq \min _{A \subsetneq X} s(A) .
$$

## Task 10

We have around 23 million $(N)$ different paperback books and we want to generate all 10 -combinations of those. Suppose we are given an index tuple $t=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{10}\right)=(1,2, \ldots, 10)$. Next generate a combination of books indexed by $t$ and increment $t_{10}$. When $t_{10}=N+1$, increment $t_{9}$ and set $t_{10}=t_{9}+1$. After $t_{9}=N-1$ (and thus $t_{10}=N$ ) has been generated, increase $t_{8}$ and set $t_{9}=t_{8}+1, t_{10}=t_{8}+2$. Continue this routine until $t_{1}=N-9, t_{2}=N-8, \ldots, t_{9}=N-1, t_{10}=N$.

## Task 15

In this task we are supposed to measure time of generating $k$-combinations of courses for $k \in\{2,3,5\}$. The results are summarized in the following table:

| $k$ | t |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 4 ms |
| 3 | 40 ms |
| 5 | 291 ms |

Increasing $k$ from 2 to 3 increases the running time by a factor of 10 ; increasing $k$ from 3 to 5 increases the running time by a factor of 7,3 . Since $n=213$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\binom{n}{3}\binom{n}{2}^{-1} & =\frac{n!2!(n-2)!}{n!3!(n-3)!} \\
& =\frac{(n-2)!}{3(n-3)!} \\
& =\frac{n-2}{3} \\
& \approx 70
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\binom{n}{5}\binom{n}{3}^{-1} & =\frac{n!3!(n-3)!}{n!5!(n-5)!)} \\
& =\frac{(n-3)!}{20(n-5)!} \\
& =\frac{(n-4)(n-3)}{20} \\
& \approx 2100
\end{aligned}
$$

which does not quite go hand in hand with the measurements.

## Task 19

The objective of this task is to compare brute-force and Apriori algorithms for frequent itemset generation.

| $k$ | support | Brute-force (ms) | Apriori (ms) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.3 | 379 | 154 |
| 3 | 0.175 | 9389 | 774 |
| 4 | 0.1 | N/A | 1845 |
| 5 | 0.1 | N/A | 1637 |

After Arto's counsel, I was able to speedup generation of 3-combinations by a factor of 20 , but I was not able to make 4-combination generation feasible.

## Task 21

The largest size of itemsets with support at least 0.05 seems to be 11 . I got 19 of such itemsets; one of them is

- TVT-ajokortti
- Ohjelmoinnin perusteet
- Opiskelutekniikka
- Tietokantojen perusteet
- Ohjelmoinnin jatkokurssi
- Tietoliikenteen perusteet
- Tietorakenteet ja algoritmit
- Johdatus tietojenkäsittelytieteeseen
- Tietokone työvälineenä
- Ohjelmistotekniikan menetelmät
- Aineopintojen harjoitustyö: Tietokantasovellus


## 3 Week 3

## Task 10

Given a set of events $E=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{d}\right\}$, a sequence $s$ over $E$ is $\left\langle S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{n}\right\rangle$, where $\varnothing \neq S_{i} \subseteq I$ for all $i$. The sequence $t=\left\langle t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right\rangle$ is said to be a subsequence of $s$ if there exist integers $1 \leq j_{1}<j_{2}<\cdots<j_{k} \leq n$ such that $t_{i} \subseteq S_{j_{i}}$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, k$.

## Task 11

We are given events $A, B$ and $C$. All possible 1 -sequences are:

1. $\langle\{A\}\rangle$
2. $\langle\{B\}\rangle$
3. $\langle\{C\}\rangle$

All possible 2-sequences are:

1. $\langle\{A, B\}\rangle$
2. $\langle\{A, C\}\rangle$
3. $\langle\{B, C\}\rangle$
4. $\langle\{A\}\{A\}\rangle$
5. $\langle\{A\}\{B\}\rangle$
6. $\langle\{A\}\{C\}\rangle$
7. $\langle\{B\}\{A\}\rangle$
8. $\langle\{B\}\{B\}\rangle$
9. $\langle\{B\}\{C\}\rangle$
10. $\langle\{C\}\{A\}\rangle$
11. $\langle\{C\}\{B\}\rangle$
12. $\langle\{C\}\{C\}\rangle$

Above we have $d=3$, which produces 122 -sequences. For general $d>1$, there would be $\binom{d}{2}+d^{2} 2$-sequences.

## Task 13

We are given the following sequences:

- $\langle\{B\}\{C\}\{H\}\rangle$
- $\langle\{B, P\}\{C\}\rangle$
- $\langle\{C\}\{H\}\{P\}\rangle$
- $\langle\{P\}\{C, H\}\rangle$
- $\langle\{T\}\{B\}\{C\}\rangle$
- $\langle\{T\}\{B, P\}\rangle$
- $\langle\{T\}\{P\}\{C\}\rangle$
where B is for bathroom, C is for computer, H is for homework, P is for phone and T is for TV.

Now the possible 4-candidates are:

- $\langle\{B\}\{C\}\{H\}\{P\}\rangle$
- $\langle\{T\}\{B\}\{C\}\{H\}\rangle$
- $\langle\{B, P\}\{C, H\}\rangle$
- $\langle\{T\}\{B, P\}\{C\}\rangle$
- $\langle\{T\}\{P\}\{C, H\}\rangle$
- $\langle\{P\}\{C, H\}\{P\}\rangle$


## Task 15

The supports for maxspan of 1 is as follows:

| Sequence | Support |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\langle\{$ courses $\}$ courses $\}\rangle$ | 0.2 |
| $\langle\{$ courses $\}\{$ dm $\}\rangle$ | 0.6 |
| $\langle\{$ dm $\}$ courses $\}\rangle$ | 0.2 |
| $\langle\{$ index $\}$ \{courses $\}\rangle$ | 0.0 |
| $\langle\{$ teaching $\}\{d m\}\rangle$ | 0.0 |

The maxspan is a pruning parameter: let $t_{1}$ be the moment at which the very first event begins and $t_{2}$ the moment at which the very last event ends, then the sequence is pruned if $t_{2}-t_{1}>$ maxspan.

## Task 16

The top five 2-sequences are:

| Sequence | Support |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lineaarialgebra ja matriisilaskenta I, Lineaarialgebra ja matriisilaskenta II | 0.326 |
| Lineaarialgebra ja matriisilaskenta I, Analyysi I | 0.317 |
| Turvallinen työskentely laboratoriassa, Yleinen kemia I | 0.259 |
| Analyysi I, Analyysi II | 0.257 |
| Yleinen kemia I, Yleinen kemia II | 0.236 |

## Task 18

The top 58 -sequences are:

1. Turvallinen työskentely laboratoriossa
2. Yleinen kemia I
3. Kemian orientoivat opinnot
4. Yleinen kemia II
5. Orgaanisen kemian perustyöt I
6. Liouskemian perusteet
7. Atomien ja molekyylien rakenne
8. Kemian tietolähteet
with support 0.0211,
9. Turvallinen työskentely laboratoriossa
10. Yleinen kemia I
11. Kemian orientoivat opinnot
12. Yleinen kemia II
13. Orgaanisen kemian perustyöt I
14. Matematiikkaa kemisteille
15. Atomien ja molekyylien rakenne
16. Kemian tietolähteet
with support 0.0204,
17. Turvallinen työskentely laboratoriossa
18. Yleinen kemia I
19. Kemian orientoivat opinnot
20. Yleinen kemia II
21. Orgaanisen kemian perustyöt I
22. Liouskemian perusteet
23. Orgaanisten yhdisteiden rakenteiden selvittäminen
24. Integroidut TVT-opinnot
with support 0.0204,
25. Turvallinen työskentely laboratoriossa
26. Yleinen kemia I
27. Kemian orientoivat opinnot
28. Yleinen kemia II
29. Orgaanisen kemian perustyöt I
30. Liouskemian perusteet
31. Orgaanisten yhdisteiden rakenteiden selvittäminen
32. Kemian tietolähteet
with support 0.0204 ,
33. Turvallinen työskentely laboratoriossa
34. Yleinen kemia I
35. Kemian orientoivat opinnot
36. Yleinen kemia II
37. Orgaanisen kemian perustyöt I
38. Liouskemian perusteet
39. Matematiikkaa kemisteille
40. Atomien ja molekyylien rakenne
with support 0.0204 .
It is obvious that the above five sequences are very alike. Actually the four last sequences have exactly the same support.

## Task 19

What comes to the results in Task 18, doing the same with maxspan produces a result with "less variation". This can be explained that those students that "fit in" maxspan of 36 months, tend to perform the same course permutation. On behalf of Task 17, applying the maxspan of 36 months produces the same sequences (with slightly smaller supports each). This can be explained by assuming that 36 months is enough for any student in the data to score 5 courses.

